

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Soc. 1459 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	05/09/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4222
09/831,417			John Canning	CU-2503-RJS	
26530 L A D A G G	7590	07/16/2003			
LADAS & PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 1200 CHICAGO, IL 60604			SUITE 1200	EXAMI	NER
				KIANNI K	KIANNI KAVELIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
n No.	Applicant(s)	20			
7	CANNING ET AL.				
	Art Unit				
anni	2877				
cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	is			
EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM				
t, however, may a reply be tim					
Ory minimum of thirty (30) days	will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commun	nication.			
on-final.					
or formal matters, pro eyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the me i3 O.G. 213.	erits is			
deration.					
irement.					
ected to by the Exami	ner.				
held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a)				
	approved by the Exami	iner.			
35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a	d) or (f).				
ceived.					
ceived in Application	No.				
have been received in					

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summer	09/831,	09/831,417		CANNING ET AL.	
. Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit		
	Kevin C	Kianni	2877		
The MAILING DATE of this comm	munication appears on ti	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provie after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c - If the period for reply specified above is test than thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - If NO period for reply is specified above. The maximum - If NO period for reply is specified above. The maximum - If NO period for reply is specified above. The maximum - Anymory than the service of the serv	of Nic A FloN. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e communication, rty (30) days, a reply within the sta im statutory period will apply and y	vent, however, may a stutory minimum of thi	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely	mmunication	
	\ e				
Responsive to communication(s This action is FINAL.					
ALL THE MENTION IN MARLE.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.			
 Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the properties of Claims 	tion for allowance except actice under Ex parte C	ot for formal ma Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 22-24 is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-24 is	/are withdrawn from cor	nsideration			
Claim(s) is/are allowed.		iologi duom.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 22-24 are subject to restr	riction and/or election re	quiromont			
application Papers		quirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b)	Objected to by th	e Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any o	biection to the drawing(e)	ho hold to alta			
The proposed drawing correction file	ed on <u>20 June 2003</u> is:	a)XX annroved	b) disapproved by the Ev	romin	
	equired in reply to this Off	ice action.	- , C	cammer.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner.				
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a)⊠ All b)∟ Some * c)∟ None of:			- (-) (-) 5. (1).		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received.			
Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received in An	olication No.		
ODIES Of the certified conies	of the priority describe		eceived in this National Sta		
application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT R	tule 17.2(a)).		ige	
4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for domestic priority	or 35 U.O.C. ?	ceived.		
				plication	
/ I made of a claim t	for domestic priority unc	der 35 U.S.C. &	n received.		
(+)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30 0.0.0. g	y 120 and/or 121.		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	4.) Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P.		Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

Election/Restrictions

 Newly submitted claims 22-24 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group (I) claims12-21 that have been cancelled directed to an optical device wherein the device comprises an optical device and a material which absorbs a predetermined wavelength of light in which the localized heating causes changes in optical properties of a region of the waveguide. While the newly submitted claims 22-24 (Group II), are directed to an optical device having a material in contact with the waveguide defining an interface in which the transfer heating causes permanent change in the optical properties at the interface; and while the newly submitted claim 24 (Group III), a different species than Group I (claims 12-21) and Group II (claims 22-24), is directed to a substrate formed on the contact area between the waveguide and the substrate defining an interface, the substrate being arranged to absorb the predetermined wavelength of light to cause localized heating at the interface.

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application applicant would have been required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application applicant would have been applicant would have been advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417 Art Unit: 2877

requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant would have been entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must have indicated which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application and applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, then applicant could submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 22-24 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The amendment filed on 6/20/2003 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417 Art Unit: 2877

(MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because of the stated reason above.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

Response to Arguments and Amendment

2. Applicant's argument filed on 20 June 2003 have been fully considered but since the newly filed claims 22-24 are restricted by original presentation as described above applicant's arguments regarding the allowability of these claims can not be considered by the examiner at present action. Årt Unit: 2877

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is [703] 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

Frank Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

July 9, 2003